Electronic transport in \( \text{Eu}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{B}_6 \)
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We have measured the electrical resistivity, the magnetoresistance, the Hall effect, and the magnetization in varying temperature ranges between 0.3 and 300 K on single crystals of EuB\(_6\), CaB\(_6\), and Eu\(_{0.8}\)Ca\(_{0.2}\)B\(_6\). The ferromagnetic phase transition of EuB\(_6\), marked by a sharp peak in the temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity \(\rho(T)\) just below 16 K, is shown to be accompanied by a considerable increase of the effective charge-carrier concentration \(n_{\text{eff}}\). The overall features of the transport properties of Eu\(_{0.8}\)Ca\(_{0.2}\)B\(_6\) are similar to those of EuB\(_6\). A phase transition at 5.3 K has been established. However, the increase of \(n_{\text{eff}}\) across this phase transition by two orders of magnitude is much more pronounced than in pure EuB\(_6\).

I. INTRODUCTION

It has been known for some time that the onset of magnetic order in EuB\(_6\) is accompanied by a large reduction of the electrical resistivity.\(^1\) More recently, the previously suggested ferromagnetic character of the ordered state has been confirmed by neutron-scattering measurements.\(^2\) The same experiments also confirmed that the aligned magnetic moments are due to the localized 4\(f\) electrons of the Eu\(^{2+}\) ions and are of the expected magnitude of 7\(\mu_B/\text{Eu}\) ion. In the paramagnetic state the temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity is of metallic character but the concentration of itinerant charge carriers is known to be rather small.\(^1,3,4\) It is therefore not \textit{a priori} clear whether the spontaneous alignment of the localized moments is simply due to the usual Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida interaction or whether also other coupling mechanisms might be of significance. A possible route to ferromagnetism in EuB\(_6\) was very recently proposed from a mean-field treatment including the effect of the bond-charge Coulomb repulsion by Hirsch.\(^5\) He argues that in EuB\(_6\), and possibly also in other metallic systems, ferromagnetism is driven by a band broadening or equivalently an effective mass reduction, both occurring upon spin polarization. Hirsch takes the point of view that the giant shift of the plasma edge observed in optical reflectivity measurements on EuB\(_6\) by Degiorgi \textit{et al.}\(^6\) is mainly due to an effective-mass variation. In this contribution, however, we show by temperature and magnetic-field dependent Hall-effect measurements that in EuB\(_6\) a considerable change in the effective charge-carrier concentration occurs upon spin polarization. From these measurements and the data of Ref. 9 we give an estimate for the variation of the effective mass across the ferromagnetic phase transition.

A completely different type of ferromagnetism has recently been discovered in Ca\(_{1-x}\)La\(_x\)B\(_6\).\(^10\) Here the ferromagnetic polarization is thought to be a manifestation of a new ground state of the low-density ensemble of itinerant electrons, which is amazingly stable up to temperatures of the order of 1000 K. Therefore we have also investigated the electrical transport properties of CaB\(_6\) and of Eu\(_{0.8}\)Ca\(_{0.2}\)B\(_6\) and compare them with the results on EuB\(_6\). As Ca and Eu are both divalent cations in the respective hexaborides, replacing Eu in EuB\(_6\) by Ca should have no sizeable effect on the density of conduction electrons. Thus the charge-carrier concentrations in EuB\(_6\), CaB\(_6\), and Eu\(_{0.8}\)Ca\(_{0.2}\)B\(_6\) are all expected to be similar. What is, however, drastically altered when replacing Eu in EuB\(_6\) by Ca is the regular array of 4\(f\)-electron moments.

II. SAMPLES AND EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The single-crystalline EuB\(_6\), CaB\(_6\), and Eu\(_{0.8}\)Ca\(_{0.2}\)B\(_6\) samples were prepared by solution growth from Al flux, using the appropriate amounts of the pure elements. Flux-grown SrB\(_6\) samples have been shown to be of high structural and chemical quality.\(^11\) In addition to EuB\(_6\), containing the isotopes \(^{10}\)B and \(^{11}\)B in the ratio given by their natural abundance of approximately 1:4, we have also investigated Eu\(^{10}\)B\(_6\) and Eu\(^{11}\)B\(_6\) samples containing only the isotopes \(^{10}\)B and \(^{11}\)B, respectively. By this approach we hoped to disentangle some of the complicated features observed in conventional EuB\(_6\) samples with the natural mixture of B isotopes. A non-negligible influence might be expected if polaronic effects are important in the low-temperature behavior of EuB\(_6\).

The electrical resistivity, the magnetoresistance, and the Hall effect were measured by a standard low-frequency ac technique in varying temperature ranges between 0.3 and 300 K and in magnetic fields of up to 70 kOe. The magnetoresistance was measured in a transverse configuration, i.e., the magnetic field \(\vec{H}\) was applied perpendicular to the electrical current \(\vec{I}\). For the Hall-effect measurements a standard setup with the two Hall voltage contacts perpendicular to \(\vec{I}\) and to \(\vec{H}\) was used. In order to eliminate the misalignment voltage, the sample was, at each field setting, first measured in an upright position, then turned by 180°, and subsequently measured in a downward position. At each temperature a sweep of the magnetic field was performed, stabilizing the temperature with a capacitance thermometer. For EuB\(_6\) and Eu\(_{0.8}\)Ca\(_{0.2}\)B\(_6\) the electrical leads were contacted to the sample...
with silver epoxy, for CaB₆ spring contacts were used. The magnetic measurements were performed in a commercial superconducting quantum interference device magnetometer in the temperature range 1.9–300 K.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Our results for the temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$ of the EuB₆ samples are, in magnitude and shape, very similar to those that have been published previously¹,³–⁷,¹²,¹³ and are displayed in Fig. 1. In the following we refer to the samples Eu₁₀B₆, Eu₁¹B₆, and EuB₆ as sample 1, 2, and 3, respectively. It may be seen that the general features of $\rho(T)$ are the same for all three samples but the absolute magnitudes of the resistivities are quite different. We have no obvious explanation for the latter fact. Between room temperature and approximately 30 K, $\rho$ decreases as $T$ decreases, a sign of common metallic behavior. At lower temperatures $\rho(T)$ increases, passes through a cusplike maximum just below 16 K, and subsequently falls off steeply to the lowest measured temperatures. Below 3 K, $\rho(T)$ of all three samples is well described by $\rho = \rho_0 + AT^2$ with $\rho_0 = 26.8$, 15.1, and 40.3 $\mu\Omega$ cm and $A = 0.28$, 0.08, and 0.24 $\mu\Omega$ cm K⁻² for sample 1, 2, and 3, respectively. The residual resistivity $\rho_0$ being the highest for the mixed-isotopes sample may be related with enhanced disorder due to the presence of both ¹⁰B and ¹¹B.

In Fig. 2 we carefully analyze $\rho(T)$ of all three EuB₆ samples in the temperature range between 7 and 17 K, by plotting the numerically calculated derivative $\partial \rho / \partial T$ as a function of temperature. The passage through zero of $\partial \rho / \partial T$, corresponding to the maximum of $\rho(T)$, is followed by two (samples 1 and 3) or even three (sample 2) maxima. The temperatures at which $\partial \rho / \partial T$ passes through zero are 15.6, 15.5, and 15.9 K for sample 1, 2, and 3, respectively. A comparison with our temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility measurements indicates that these temperatures mark the onset of ferromagnetic order and thus have to be identified with the Curie temperature $T_C$. The temperature of the first maximum of $\partial \rho / \partial T$ which we call $T_1$ is, within experimental uncertainty, the same for all three samples (14.9 K for samples 1 and 3, 14.8 K for sample 2), but the temperature of the second maximum called $T_2$ is less well defined (12.4 K for sample 1, 12.5 K for sample 2, 12.8 K for sample 3). The third maximum at $T_3 = 9.6$ K is observed only for sample 2. Measurements of the specific heat¹,¹³ revealed the occurrence of two consecutive phase transitions in EuB₆. Our $\rho(T)$ data show that the phase transition is even more complicated and that the details at temperatures below $T_C$ may be quite sample dependent. The Curie temperature $T_C$ is, within 0.4 K, the same for all our samples. From these data we have no unambiguous evidence for a B isotope effect on the ferromagnetic transition in EuB₆.

We now turn to the electrical resistivity data of CaB₆ and Eu₀.₈Ca₀.₂B₆ which are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. It may be seen that $\rho$ of CaB₆ varies much less with temperature than $\rho$ of EuB₆. Below room temperature $\rho$ of CaB₆ decreases, reaching a minimum at 116 K. After a subsequent increase it passes through a maximum at 53 K and again through a further minimum at 10.8 K. Finally it increases smoothly with decreasing temperature to 1.5 K. More pronounced features of $\rho(T)$ are recognized for Eu₀.₈Ca₀.₂B₆. The dominating sharp maximum at 5.3 K is preceded by a shallow maximum at 208 K and a shallow minimum at 34 K. The subsequent decrease of $\rho(T)$ is intercepted by a minimum at 2.5 K below which $\rho(T)$ increases towards the lowest measured temperatures. For comparison, Fig. 5 gives an overview of the temperature dependences of the electrical

---

**FIG. 1.** Electrical resistivity $\rho$ vs temperature $T$ for EuB₆ samples 1 (Eu₁₀B₆), 2 (Eu₁¹B₆), and 3 (EuB₆).

**FIG. 2.** Numerically calculated temperature derivative of the electrical resistivity $\partial \rho / \partial T$ normalized to its maximum value as a function of temperature $T$ for the EuB₆ samples 1 (Eu₁₀B₆), 2 (Eu₁¹B₆), and 3 (EuB₆). The solid lines are to guide the eye.

**FIG. 3.** Electrical resistivity $\rho$ vs temperature $T$ for CaB₆.
resistivities $\rho(T)$, normalized to the room-temperature value, of EuB$_6$ sample 1, CaB$_6$, and Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$.

In Fig. 6 we replot the temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity of the EuB$_6$ sample 1 [Fig. 6(a)], and of Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$ [Fig. 6(b)], together with the magnetic susceptibilities $\chi$ of both samples. Just as for EuB$_6$ the cusplike feature of $\rho(T)$ of Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$ at 5.3 K is accompanied by a sharp increase of $\chi$ with decreasing temperature. This is a clear indication that also Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$ orders ferromagnetically, at a substantially reduced Curie temperature, however. The saturation magnetization at 1.9 K is approximately $5 \mu_B$ per Eu ion, distinctly reduced if compared to approximately $7 \mu_B$ per Eu ion for EuB$_6$.

In the following we present our Hall effect measurements. For pure EuB$_6$, low-temperature and low-field Hall-effect data are available in the literature.$^{5,7}$ The effective charge-carrier concentration at 4.2 K that we have derived from our Hall constant at 1 T agrees, within factors of 0.7 and 1.1, with those derived from the low-field Hall constants of Refs. 5 and 7, respectively. The field dependence of the Hall resistivity of EuB$_6$ in magnetic fields up to 70 kOe, to be presented below, has, to our knowledge, not yet been published.

The Hall effect shows the most spectacular features for Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$, and we shall present these data first. In Fig. 7 some representative data of the Hall resistivity $\rho_H = V_H d/I$ of Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$, measured at fixed temperatures between 2 and 290 K, is plotted as a function of the magnetic induction $B = \mu_0 H$, where $H$ is the external magnetic field and $\mu_0$ the permeability of free space. $V_H$ is the Hall voltage, $d$ the...
FIG. 8. Effective charge-carrier concentration $-1/(R_{eH})$ of Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$ at different values of $B$ between 1 and 7 T as a function of temperature $T$. The Hall coefficient $R_{HI}$ was calculated at each $B$ value by $R_{HI}(B) = \rho_H(B)/B$. The dotted line indicates the Curie temperature determined from the position of the maximum of $\rho(T)$. The solid lines are to guide the eye.

sample thickness, and $I$ the applied current. Between 290 and approximately 80 K, $\rho_H$ is a linear function of $B$ up to $B = 7$ T. At lower temperatures $\rho_H$ deviates from the linear dependence at inductions $B$ that decrease with decreasing temperature. At 2 K, an almost linear dependence with a slope whose absolute value is much smaller than at high temperatures is recovered. Attempts to fit the highly nonlinear $\rho_H(B)$ curves in the range between 2 and 80 K by either a two band model or by considering the anomalous Hall effect via our magnetization measurements on Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$ in magnetic fields of up to 55 kOe, were not successful. Neither of these considerations lead to an even qualitative agreement between calculations and experiment. Therefore we suggest that the nonlinear $\rho_H(B)$ behavior results from a magnetic-field-induced transition between a charge-carrier-poor state at high temperatures [linear $\rho_H(B)$ dependence with a large absolute value of the slope] to a charge-carrier-rich state [linear $\rho_H(B)$ dependence with a small absolute value of the slope] at low temperatures. The temperature at which this transition occurs increases with increasing applied magnetic field. This is more clearly revealed by Fig. 8, where we plot $-1/(R_{eH})$ at different values of $B$ between 1 and 7 T as a function of temperature. The Hall coefficient $R_{HI}$ was calculated at each $B$ value by $R_{HI}(B) = \rho_H(B)/B$. The ratio $-1/(R_{eH})$ may be interpreted as an effective charge-carrier concentration. $R_{HI}$ is negative at all fields and at all temperatures which implies that the charge carriers are predominantly electronlike. Above 80 K all curves in Fig. 8 fall on top of each other, reflecting the linear variation of $\rho_H(B)$. At lower temperatures all $-1/(R_{eH})$ curves reveal a steep increase over more than one order of magnitude. The temperatures fixed by the largest slope of this increase range between approximately 30 K for the curve at 7 T and approximately 5 K for the curve at 1 T. The effective electron concentration is approximately $8 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ above the transition and between 3 and $8 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$ below the transition. The curve at 1 T reflects most closely the intrinsic (zero-field) behavior of Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$. The fact that the temperature of the maximum negative slope of the 1-T curve coincides with the Curie temperature of Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$ (dotted line in Fig. 8) indicates that the drastic increase of the charge-carrier concentration is directly related to the ferromagnetic phase transition.

FIG. 9. Effective charge-carrier concentration $-1/(R_{eH})$ of EuB$_6$ sample 1 (Eu$^{10}$B$_6$) at different values of $B$ between 1 and 7 T as a function of temperature $T$. The Hall coefficient $R_{HI}$ was calculated at each $B$ value by $R_{HI}(B) = \rho_H(B)/B$. The dotted line indicates the Curie temperature determined from the position of the maximum of $\rho(T)$. The solid lines are to guide the eye.

The Hall effect of pure EuB$_6$ is qualitatively similar to the one of Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$, but the features described above are somewhat less pronounced in the case of EuB$_6$. In Fig. 9 we plot $-1/(R_{eH})$, obtained as explained above, at fixed fields between 1 and 7 T as a function of temperature. The analogy between the curves of Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$ and EuB$_6$ is not really obvious at first sight because the investigated temperature range with respect to the Curie temperature is much less extended for EuB$_6$, for which measurements only below 25 K have been made. Only the low-temperature tail of the field-induced phase transition from a state with low charge-carrier concentration at high temperatures to a state with high charge-carrier concentration at low temperatures is experimentally established. However, we speculate that at temperatures well above the Curie temperature of EuB$_6$, all curves of Fig. 9 will merge and assume a value somewhat smaller than $5 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$. Well below $T_C$, the ratio $-1/(R_{eH})$ tends to decrease again, at least for external fields exceeding 3 T. For $B = 7$ T the effective charge-carrier concentration assumes a maximum at approximately 14 K and clearly decreases towards lower temperatures. In smaller fields the position of this maximum shifts to lower temperatures and the decrease is less pronounced.

The Hall-effect data of CaB$_6$ is much easier to analyze. The Hall resistivity $\rho_H(B)$ varies approximately linearly with $B$ in the entire investigated temperature range between 1.9 and 280 K. The slope of all $\rho_H(B)$ curves is negative, implying predominant electron-type conduction. The corresponding effective charge-carrier concentration values between 1.8 and $2.4 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$. At 53 K, the temperature where $\rho(T)$ reaches a maximum, the electron concentration increases slightly. This feature is reminiscent of those in $\rho(T)$ and $n(T)$ at the ferromagnetic phase transition in EuB$_6$ and Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$. 

An overview over the low-field Hall-effect data of all three investigated samples, EuB$_6$, CaB$_6$, and Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$, is given in Fig. 10 where we plot the effective charge-carrier concentration $n_{\text{eff}}$ determined from the Hall coefficient at 1 T of EuB$_6$ sample 1 (Eu$^{10}$B$_6$), CaB$_6$, and Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$ as a function of temperature $T$. The dotted lines indicate the positions where the respective $\rho(T)$ curves assume a maximum. The solid lines are to guide the eye.

The effective charge-carrier concentration $n_{\text{eff}}$ increases most dramatically across the magnetic transition at 5.3 K (by two orders of magnitude) with decreasing temperature. For EuB$_6$ $n_{\text{eff}}$ increases by at least a factor of 2.3 and the transition temperature is considerably higher. For CaB$_6$ a slight increase of $n_{\text{eff}}$ may be identified between 200 and 30 K. The effective charge-carrier concentration is, with less than 0.1 electron per formula unit at all temperatures, rather low for all three materials. For Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$ we find, with less than $6 \times 10^{-4}$ charge carriers per formula unit, an exceedingly low effective carrier concentration at high temperatures.

Finally, we present our magnetoresistance data. In Fig. 11 we plot the magnetoresistance $MR = [R(B) - R(0)]/R(0)$ of EuB$_6$ sample 1 at different $B$ values between 1 and 7 T as a function of temperature $T$. The solid lines are to guide the eye.

The effective charge-carrier concentration $n_{\text{eff}}$ is, with less than $4 \times 10^{-5}$ charge carriers per formula unit, an exceedingly low effective carrier concentration at high temperatures.

FIG. 10. Effective charge-carrier concentration per formula unit $n_{\text{eff}}$ determined from the Hall coefficient at 1 T of EuB$_6$ sample 1 (Eu$^{10}$B$_6$), CaB$_6$, and Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$ as a function of temperature $T$. The dotted lines indicate the positions where the respective $\rho(T)$ curves assume a maximum. The solid lines are to guide the eye.

FIG. 11. Magnetoresistance $MR = [R(B) - R(0)]/R(0)$ of EuB$_6$ sample 1 at different $B$ values between 1 and 7 T as a function of temperature $T$. The solid lines are to guide the eye.

FIG. 12. Magnetoresistance $MR = [R(B) - R(0)]/R(0)$ of Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$ at different $B$ values between 1 and 7 T as a function of temperature $T$. The dotted line indicates the Curie temperature determined from the position of the maximum of $\rho(T)$. The solid lines are to guide the eye.

EuB$_6$ sample 1 at different $B$ values between 1 and 7 T as a function of temperature. As previously reported, $^5,^7,^{13}$ the high-temperature MR is negative, increasing in absolute value with decreasing temperature. The maximum negative MR of approximately 100% is reached at the Curie temperature of EuB$_6$. At lower temperatures the absolute value of the MR decreases, passes through zero, and reaches positive values as high as 700% at 7 T and 1.7 K.

Above $T_C$, the magnetoresistances of EuB$_6$ and Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$ are qualitatively similar. As is shown in Fig. 12, above $T_C$ the MR of Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$ is, except for small positive values at small fields, negative and increases in absolute value with decreasing temperature. At $T_C$ a maximum absolute value of almost 100% is reached, just as for EuB$_6$. However, in contrast to the MR of EuB$_6$, the MR of Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$ remains negative at temperatures well below $T_C$.

IV. DISCUSSION

The results of recent band-structure calculations by Massida et al. $^{15}$ suggest that the cubic hexaborides CaB$_6$ and EuB$_6$ are semimetals, with a small band overlap at the $X$ point of the Brillouin zone. In a perfect semimetal the concentration of electrons in the conduction band is the same as the concentration of holes in the valence band. The Hall coefficient of such a system is only nonzero if the electron and hole mobilities are not the same. The fact that we find a negative Hall coefficient for all samples in the entire temperature range indicates that the electrons are more mobile than the holes. This is in agreement with the band structure of Ref. 15 where, at the $X$ point, the effective mass of the charge carriers in the conduction band of both CaB$_6$ and EuB$_6$ is smaller than the one of the holes in the valence band.

The effective charge-carrier concentration $n_{\text{eff}}$ discussed in the previous section is, in a perfect semimetal, related to the real electron (or hole) concentration $n$ by $n_{\text{eff}} = n (\mu_p + \mu_n) / (\mu_p - \mu_n)$, where $\mu_p$ and $\mu_n$ are the hole and electron mobility, respectively. Thus the real electron concentrations $n$ in EuB$_6$, CaB$_6$, and Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$ may even be smaller than...
the effective charge-carrier concentrations \( n_{\text{eff}} \) given in Fig. 10. Likewise, it cannot be excluded that \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \) have a different temperature dependence which would affect the way in which \( n \) is changed across the phase transition. However, this effect shall be neglected in the following estimate.

As mentioned in the introduction measurements of the optical reflectivity of single-crystalline EuB\(_6\) (same sample as sample 3 of this study) revealed a large shift of the plasma edge below \( T_C \).\(^9\) The unscreened plasma frequency \( \omega_p \) was shown to increase from approximately 2060 cm\(^{-1}\) at 20 K to approximately 4890 cm\(^{-1}\) at 6 K. As pointed out by Degiorgi \textit{et al.}\(^9\) this shift suggests an increase of the itinerant charge-carrier concentration, a reduction of their effective mass, or a combination of the two. Combining the \( \omega_p(T) \) data of Ref. 9 with our low-field Hall-effect data of EuB\(_6\) (cf. Fig. 10) using the relation \( \omega_p = (n e^2 / \epsilon_0 m^* \sqrt{\pi})^{1/2} \) with \( n = -1/[R_H(B = 1 \ T) e] \) we can estimate the temperature dependence of the effective mass \( m^* \). \( \epsilon_0 \) is the permittivity of free space. In Fig. 13 we plot the ratio \( m^*/m_e \), where \( m_e \) is the mass of a free electron, between 6 and 25 K, the temperature range where both \( \omega_p \) and \( R_H(B = 1 \ T) \) have been measured. With decreasing temperature \( m^*/m_e \) first slightly increases and, between 17 and 9 K, drops by a factor of 3.5, a substantial reduction. Thus, in addition to an increase of the charge-carrier concentration, the effective mass of the charge carriers is reduced in the course of the ferromagnetic phase transition. As mentioned in the Introduction, Hirsch proposed a model for EuB\(_6\) in which the entire shift of \( \omega_p \) can be explained by a shift of the effective mass.\(^8\) Our results may thus serve to refine this model.

Very recently, Aronson \textit{et al.}\(^{15}\) reported on results of their Shubnikov–de Haas and de Haas–van Alphen measurements on a EuB\(_6\) single crystal. From the smooth temperature dependences below 25 K of four fundamental frequencies, extracted from measurements at fields between 5 and 30 T, they conclude that the Fermi surface of EuB\(_6\) is essentially unaffected by the onset of magnetism. Our Hall-effect measurements, however, show that in the temperature range around \( T_C \) the Fermi surface is very sensitive to magnetic fields. An appreciable decrease of the effective charge-carrier concentration across the (zero-field) Curie temperature \( T_C \) is deduced from the low-field Hall constant only. Higher fields shift the decrease of the effective charge-carrier concentration to higher temperatures. In the case of Eu\(_{0.8}\)Ca\(_{0.2}\)B\(_6\), the temperature fixed by the largest slope of this decrease is shifted from 5 K at 1 T to 30 K at 7 T. A similar sensitivity is anticipated for EuB\(_6\) (cf. Fig. 9). Therefore we believe that the above-mentioned high-field measurements\(^{16}\) cannot rule out an appreciable modification to the Fermi-surface dimensions with the onset of ferromagnetism.

Combining the resistivity and the Hall-effect data we may calculate both the low-field Hall mobility \( \mu_H = R_H(B = 1 \ T)/\rho \) and the “Hall” mean free path \( \lambda = h(3 \pi^2/\epsilon_0 e^2)^{1/2} [R_H(B = 1 \ T)]^{2/3} \rho \), which we plot in Fig. 14 and in its inset, respectively, as a function of temperature for EuB\(_6\) sample 1, CaB\(_6\), and Eu\(_{0.8}\)Ca\(_{0.2}\)B\(_6\). The absolute value of the Hall mobility \( |\mu_H| \) of EuB\(_6\) has a sharp minimum at the Curie temperature of 15.6 K. Below \( T_C \), \( |\mu_H| \) of EuB\(_6\) increases steeply by an order of magnitude and reaches values as high as 2000 cm\(^2\)/V s at 1.5 K. For Eu\(_{0.8}\)Ca\(_{0.2}\)B\(_6\) \( |\mu_H| \) passes over a broad maximum centered at 50 K from where it falls by two orders of magnitude with decreasing temperature to 0.3 cm\(^2\)/V s at 1.6 K. Unlike in the case of EuB\(_6\), \( |\mu_H| \) of Eu\(_{0.8}\)Ca\(_{0.2}\)B\(_6\) does not increase below \( T_C \) but instead continues to drop. For CaB\(_6\) \( |\mu_H| \) varies much less with temperature. It passes over a maximum at 140 K and through a shallow minimum at 30 K. With absolute values of the order of 100 cm\(^2\)/V s in the entire temperature range the Hall mobility of CaB\(_6\) lies between the ones of EuB\(_6\) and Eu\(_{0.8}\)Ca\(_{0.2}\)B\(_6\). For all three samples the temperature dependence of the “Hall” mean free path \( \lambda \) resembles that of \( |\mu_H| \). The “Hall” mean free path of Eu\(_{0.8}\)Ca\(_{0.2}\)B\(_6\) above \( T_C \) is considerably shorter than the ones of EuB\(_6\) and CaB\(_6\), might be due to the disorder introduced into the system by Ca doping. It is somewhat surprising that \( \lambda \) of EuB\(_6\) below \( T_C \) is much longer than \( \lambda \) of CaB\(_6\). It should be kept in mind, however, that the Hall mobility and the “Hall” mean free path are not only effective parameters in a fictitious one band model. In a perfect semimetal the Hall mobility is related to

![FIG. 13. Temperature dependence of the effective mass ratio \( m^*/m_e \) of EuB\(_6\) sample 1 (Eu\(^{10}\)B\(_6\)) obtained by combining the effective charge-carrier concentration of Fig. 10 with the temperature dependence of the plasma frequency given by Degiorgi \textit{et al.} (Ref. 9). The dots mark the data points and the solid curve is obtained by a smooth interpolation between them.](image)

![FIG. 14. Absolute value of the Hall mobility |\(\mu_H|\) of EuB\(_6\) sample 1 (Eu\(^{10}\)B\(_6\)), CaB\(_6\), and Eu\(_{0.8}\)Ca\(_{0.2}\)B\(_6\) vs temperature. The inset shows the temperature dependence of the “Hall” mean free path \( \lambda \) of the same three samples obtained as explained in the text.](image)
the electron and hole mobilities by $\mu_H = \mu_p - \mu_n$, i.e., a small absolute value of the Hall mobility (and a short “Hall” mean free path) can also be due to $\mu_p$ and $\mu_n$ being coincidentally very similar in magnitude. In view of this likely complication we refrain from interpreting the overall features of $\mu_H(T)$ in detail at this time. Nevertheless, the increase of $|\mu_H|$ below $T_C$ of EuB$_6$ is, in part, due to the decrease of the effective mass (cf. Fig. 13) but, in addition, there has to be a sizeable increase of the effective scattering time $\tau = \hbar m/\epsilon$. Evidence for this has also been obtained from an analysis of the optical conductivity data presented in Ref. 9. This indicates that there must be a significant change in the scattering mechanism for itinerant charge carriers in EuB$_6$ induced by the phase transition.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that the ferromagnetic phase transition of EuB$_6$ at approximately 16 K is accompanied by a considerable increase of the effective charge-carrier concentration. Part of the giant shift of the plasma frequency deduced from optical reflectivity measurements$^9$ is thus due to an increase of the charge-carrier concentration upon spin polarization. In addition, both the effective mass and the effective scattering rate have been shown to be reduced upon spin polarization. Thus the ferromagnetic phase transition of EuB$_6$ has an appreciable effect on all three fundamental parameters (carrier concentration, mass, and scattering rate) and a serious comparison with any theoretical model cannot be made without disentangling these effects.

In Eu$_{0.8}$Ca$_{0.2}$B$_6$, we have identified a ferromagnetic phase transition at 5.3 K, accompanied by an increase of the effective charge-carrier concentration by as much as two orders of magnitude. The carrier concentration at temperatures above the phase transition is, with less than $6 \times 10^{-4}$ per formula unit, extremely low for a metallic system. In particular, it does not lie in between the carrier concentrations of EuB$_6$ and CaB$_6$. Thus it appears to be extremely interesting to further investigate Eu$_{1-x}$Ca$_x$B$_6$ samples and systematically follow the evolution of the Curie temperature and the charge carrier concentration upon Ca doping of EuB$_6$.
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